

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,886	01/18/2000	Gale E. Smith	674506-2035.2	1236	
20999	7590 04/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C		
NEW YORK			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/484,886	SMITH ET AL.			
, and the state of	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriations of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.		
NOTE: <u>in-vivo erythropoietin activity is a limitatio</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		<u>ed</u> .			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance be a sised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		·		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 96-116.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 117-124.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	•	Jon P. Wel	ber, Ph.D. Examiner		
•			-		

Continuation of 5. Applicants' remarks and declaration are directed solely to the new limitation which has not been entered.